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#### Abstract

We study the growth of fibers of coverings of pinched negatively curved Riemannian manifolds. The applications include counting estimates for horoballs in the universal cover of geometrically finite manifolds with cusps. Continuing our work on diophantine approximation in negatively curved manifolds started in an earlier paper (Math. Zeit. 241 (2002), 181-226), we prove a Khintchine-Sullivan-type theorem giving the Hausdorff measure of the geodesic lines starting from a cusp that are well approximated by the cusp returning ones.


## 1. Introduction

Let $M$ be a complete pinched negatively curved Riemannian manifold. Let $\pi: N \rightarrow M$ be a Riemannian covering of $M$ and let $x_{0}$ be any point in $N$. Define the counting function of $\pi$ as $f_{\pi}: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$, with $f_{\pi}(t)$ the number of points $x$ in $\pi^{-1} \pi\left(x_{0}\right)$ such that $d_{N}\left(x_{0}, x\right) \leq t$. In this paper, we study the growth of the counting function $f_{\pi}$. When $\pi$ is the covering defined by a cuspidal subgroup of $\pi_{1} M$, we get estimates for the growth of the number of horoballs in the universal cover of geometrically finite manifolds with cusps. An application is given to a Khintchine-Sullivan-type theorem in the setting of diophantine approximation in negatively curved manifolds as developed in [HP2].

Let $\tilde{\pi}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a universal covering. The growth of $f_{\tilde{\pi}}$ has been much studied. In particular, the estimate $f_{\tilde{\pi}}(t) \sim c e^{\delta t}$ as $t$ tends to $+\infty$ has been established in several situations, where $\delta$ is the critical exponent of $M$ (see $\S 2$ ) and $c>0$ is some constant. See [Mar] for $M$ compact; see [Pat, Sul1] for $M$ non-elementary, geometrically finite, with constant curvature; see [Rob] for $M$ non-elementary, having finite Bowen-Margulis measure and with the length spectrum of $M$ being non-discrete in $\mathbb{R}$; see other references
in [Rob]. When $M$ is compact and $\pi$ is normal, then the growth of $f_{\pi}$ is equivalent to the growth of the finitely generated group $\pi_{1} M / \pi_{*}\left(\pi_{1} N\right)$ (see [GK]). We are mostly interested in non-normal covers.

Recall that $N$ is convex-cocompact if $N$ contains a compact convex submanifold which is a strong deformation retract of $N$. Given two maps $f, g: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, write $f \asymp g$ if there is a constant $c>0$ such that $(1 / c) f(t) \leq g(t) \leq c f(t)$ for every $t$ in $E$.

THEOREM 1.1. Assume that $M$ is compact, $N$ is convex-cocompact and $\pi$ is infinitesheeted. Then $f_{\pi}(t) \asymp e^{h t}$, where $h$ is the topological entropy of the geodesic flow of $M$.

See Theorem 3.1 for a more general result (valid for Gromov-hyperbolic metric spaces).
Assume for simplicity in this introduction that $M$ is geometrically finite and has exactly one cusp $e$ (see [Bow] or $\S 2$ for definitions).

As defined in [HP2, Definition 2.3], a geodesic line starting from $e$ is rational if it converges to $e$ and irrational if it accumulates inside $M$. The depth $D(r)$ of a rational line $r$ is the length of the subsegment of $r$ between the first and last meeting point with the boundary of the maximal Margulis neighborhood of the cusp $e$ in $M$. For $t \geq 0$, define $\mathcal{N}_{e}(t)$ as the number of rational lines whose depth is less than $t$.

Let $M_{0} \rightarrow M$ be the covering of $M$ defined by any parabolic subgroup corresponding to $e$ of the fundamental group of $M$, let $\tilde{\pi_{0}}: \widetilde{M} \rightarrow M_{0}$ be its universal cover and let $\delta_{0}$ be its critical exponent. In variable curvature, the geometry of $M_{0}$ can be quite complicated (see [DOP]). The assumptions $f_{\widetilde{\pi}_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$ and $\delta_{0}<\delta$ are satisfied, for instance, if $M$ is a rank 1 locally symmetric space. See [DOP] for many other cases, as well as for situations when they do not hold.

We improve the main result of $[\mathbf{B H P}]$, as follows (see also [Rob]).
THEOREM 1.2. If $\delta_{0}<\delta$, then $\mathcal{N}_{e}(t) \asymp e^{\delta t}$.
The main goal of this paper is the following result. Let $\xi_{0}$ be any parabolic fixed point corresponding to $e$. If $r$ is a rational line, let $\widetilde{r}(\infty)$ be the point at infinity of a lift of $r$ starting from $\xi_{0}$. Let $\tilde{d}_{e}$ be the Hamenstädt distance on $\partial \widetilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$. Let $\tilde{\mu}_{e}$ be the Patterson-Sullivan measure seen from $\xi_{0}$ on $\partial \widetilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$ (see $\S 2$ for the definitions).

THEOREM 1.3. Assume that $f_{\tilde{\pi}_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be a map with $\log f$ Lipschitz. Let $\widetilde{E}(f)$ be the set of points $\xi$ in $\partial \widetilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$ such that there exist infinitely many rational lines $r$ with $\tilde{d}_{e}(\xi, \widetilde{r}(\infty)) \leq f(D(r)) e^{-D(r)}$. Then $\tilde{\mu}_{e}(\widetilde{E}(f))=0$ (respectively $\tilde{\mu}_{e}\left({ }^{c} \widetilde{E}(f)\right)=0$ ) if and only if the integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)} d t$ converges (respectively diverges).

If $\tilde{M}=\mathbb{H}^{2}, \Gamma=P S L_{2}(\mathbb{Z})$, then this result is a well-known result of Khintchine (see $[\mathbf{K h}]$ ). The theorem, and the following corollary, called the logarithm law for the geodesic flow, are due to D. Sullivan [Sul2] if $M$ has finite volume and constant curvature, to D . Kleinbock and G. Margulis $[\mathbf{K M}]$ if $M$ is a finite volume locally symmetric space and to B. Stratmann and S. L. Velani [SV] if $M$ is geometrically finite with constant curvature.

Endow the unit tangent sphere $T_{x}^{1}(M)$ with the Patterson-Sullivan measure (see $\S 2$ ) and denote by $t \mapsto \gamma_{v}(t)$ the geodesic ray in $M$ defined by $v \in T_{x}^{1}(M)$.

THEOREM 1.4. Assume that $f_{\tilde{\pi}_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$. For every $x$ in $M$ and almost every $v$ in $T_{x}^{1}(M)$,

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d_{M}\left(x, \gamma_{v}(t)\right)}{\log t}=\frac{1}{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}
$$

This paper is organized as follows. Section 2 gives the main definitions and notations that will be used in the paper. The main result of the paper, Theorem 1.3, is proved in $\S 5$. The main steps are Theorem 1.2 (proved in §3) and a greatly generalized fluctuating density result (see $\S 4$ ). Theorem 1.4 is then proved in $\S 6$.

## 2. Notation

2.1. Generalities. This section recalls well-known definitions and results regarding negatively curved metric spaces (see [Bou, Bow]).

Let $M$ be a complete pinched negatively curved Riemannian manifold. After normalizing its metric, we assume that its sectional curvature $K$ is normalized by $-\kappa^{2} \leq$ $K \leq-1$ with $1 \leq \kappa<+\infty$. Let $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{M} \rightarrow M$ be a fixed universal cover, with a covering group $\Gamma$.

In particular, $\tilde{M}$ is a proper geodesic metric space which is $\operatorname{CAT}(-1)$ and $\operatorname{CAT}_{\mathrm{op}}\left(-\kappa^{2}\right)$, that is its geodesic triangles are more (respectively less) pinched than those in the constant curvature -1 (respectively $-\kappa^{2}$ ) space; see $[\mathbf{G H}]$ for definitions.

Let $X$ be a CAT $(-1)$ geodesic metric space. The boundary $\partial X$ of $X$ is the space of all geodesic rays in $X$, where two rays are identified if they remain within bounded Hausdorff distance. The set $X \cup \partial X$ is endowed with the cone topology.

The Poincaré series of a group $G$ of isometries of $X$ is defined by

$$
P_{G}(x, y, s)=\sum_{g \in G} e^{-s d(x, g y)}
$$

for any $x, y$ in $X$ and $s$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. This series converges if $s>\delta_{G}$ and diverges if $s<\delta_{G}$ for some $\delta_{G} \in[0,+\infty]$, which is called the critical exponent of $G$. It is easy to see that $\delta_{G}$ is independent of the points $x, y$.

Let $a, b \in \partial X$. Their Gromov product with respect to a base point $x$ in $X$ is defined by

$$
(a, b)_{x}=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{1}{2}(d(x, a(t))+d(x, b(t))-d(a(t), b(t))) .
$$

It is independent of the geodesic rays $a, b:[0,+\infty[\rightarrow X$ representing $a, b$. The visual distance $d_{x}$ on $\partial X$ is defined by

$$
d_{x}(a, b)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } a=b \\ e^{-(a, b)_{x}} & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Every isometry $\gamma$ of $X$ extends to an homeomorphism of $\partial X$ which is an isometry between $d_{x}$ and $d_{\gamma x}$.

For $\xi$ in $\partial X$, the Buseman function $\beta_{\xi}: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\beta_{\xi}(x, y)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} d(x, \xi(t))-d(y, \xi(t))
$$

for any geodesic ray $t \mapsto \xi(t)$ converging to $\xi$. The horospheres centered at $\xi$ are the level sets of $x \mapsto \beta_{\xi}(x, y)$ (for any $y \in X$ ), and the horoballs are the sublevel sets.

For $s$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, a Patterson-Sullivan (family of) measure(s) of dimension $s$ for a group $G$ of isometries of $X$ is a family of absolutely continuous finite measures $\left(v_{x}\right)_{x \in X}$ on $\partial X$ such that
(1) $\left(d \nu_{x} / d \nu_{y}\right)(\xi)=e^{-s \beta_{\xi}(x, y)}$ for every $x, y$ in $X$ and $\xi$ in $\partial X$, and
(2) $g_{*} \nu_{x}=v_{g x}$ for every $g$ in $G$.

Note that $\left(v_{x}\right)_{x \in X}$ is uniquely defined by $v_{x_{0}}$, for $x_{0}$ any base point in $X$.
Given a Patterson-Sullivan family of measures $\left(\mu_{x}\right)_{x \in \tilde{M}}$ for $\Gamma$, for every $y$ in $M$, the unit tangent sphere $T_{y}^{1} M$ can be endowed with a measure, also called a Patterson-Sullivan measure, in the following way: take a lift $\tilde{y}$ of $y$ in $\tilde{M}$, identify $T_{y}^{1} M$ with $T_{\widetilde{y}}^{1} \tilde{M}$ by the covering map, and $T_{\widetilde{y}}^{1} \tilde{M}$ with $\partial \widetilde{M}$ by the endpoint map, which maps a unit vector to the point at infinity of the geodesic ray it defines. The measure $\mu_{\tilde{y}}$ on $\partial \tilde{M}$ pulls back to a measure on $T_{y}^{1} M$, which by the equivariance property of the Patterson-Sullivan measures does not depend on the chosen lift $\tilde{y}$.

Assume that $X$ is proper. Let $G$ be a discrete subgroup of isometries of $X$. The limit set $\Lambda G$ is the set $\overline{G x} \cap \partial X$, for any $x$ in $X$. The group $G$ is non-elementary if $\Lambda G$ contains at least three points. If $G$ is non-elementary, the convex hull in $X$ of the limit set of $G$ is denoted by $C \Lambda G$. A point $\xi$ in $\Lambda G$ is a conical limit point of $G$ if it is the endpoint of a geodesic ray in $X$ which projects to a path in $G \backslash X$ that is recurrent in some compact subset. A point $\xi$ in $\Lambda G$ is a bounded parabolic point if it is fixed by some parabolic element in $G$, and if the quotient $(\Lambda G-\{\xi\}) / G_{\xi}$ is compact, where $G_{\xi}$ is the stabilizer of $\xi$. The group $G$ is geometrically finite if it is non-elementary and if every limit point of $G$ is conical or bounded parabolic (see [Bow] for more information). The manifold $M$ is non-elementary or geometrically finite if $\Gamma$ is (as a subgroup of isometries of $\widetilde{M}$ ).

Assume that the critical exponent $\delta_{G}$ of $G$ satisfies $0<\delta_{G}<+\infty$. Assume that $G$ is of divergent type, i.e. that the Poincaré series $P_{G}(x, y, s)$ diverges at $s=\delta_{G}$. If $x_{G}$ is a base point in $X$, the measures $v_{x}$ for $x$ in $X$ may then be taken as the weak limit for some $\left(s_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ (independent of $x$ ) with $s_{i}>\delta_{G}$ tending to $\delta_{G}$ as $i \rightarrow+\infty$, of

$$
\frac{1}{P_{G}\left(x_{G}, x_{G}, s_{i}\right)} \sum_{g \in G} e^{-s_{i} d\left(x, g x_{G}\right)} \Delta_{g x_{G}}
$$

where $\Delta_{z}$ is a unit Dirac mass at the point $z$ in $X$.
The shadow $\mathcal{O}_{x} A$ of a subset $A$ of $X$ seen from a point $x$ in $X \cup \partial X$ is the set of points $\xi \neq x$ in $\partial X$ such that the (unique) geodesic ray or line from $x$ to $\xi$ has a non-empty intersection with $A$.
2.2. The rational and irrational lines. The content of this section is taken from [HP2], to which we refer for proofs and complements.

Assume that $M$ is non-elementary and has at least one cusp $e$, i.e. an asymptotic class of minimizing geodesic rays in $M$ along which the injectivity radius goes to zero. We say that a geodesic ray converges to $e$ if some subray belongs to the class $e$.

Fix $\xi_{0}$ on the boundary $\partial \tilde{M}$ of $\tilde{M}$, which is the endpoint of a lift of a geodesic ray converging to $e$. In particular, $\xi_{0}$ is the fixed point of a parabolic element in $\Gamma$. Let $\Gamma_{0}$ be the stabilizer of $\xi_{0}$ in $\Gamma$, called a parabolic subgroup for $e$. We say that the cusp $e$ (and the
parabolic subgroup $\Gamma_{0}$ ) is bounded if $\xi_{0}$ is a bounded parabolic point for $\Gamma$. We denote by $\delta=\delta_{\Gamma}$ and $\delta_{0}=\delta_{\Gamma_{0}}$ the critical exponents of $\Gamma$ and $\Gamma_{0}$ respectively. Note that $0<\delta_{0} \leq \delta<+\infty$ (see, for instance, [Bou]). If $M$ is compact, then $\delta$ is the topological entropy of the geodesic flow of $M$ (see [Man]).

Let $H_{0}$ be the horosphere centered at $\xi_{0}$ such that the horoball $H B_{0}$ bounded by $H_{0}$ is the maximal horoball centered at $\xi_{0}$ such that the quotient of its interior by $\Gamma_{0}$ embeds in $M$ by $\tilde{\pi}$. Such a maximal horoball exists: see, for instance, [BuK]. The subset $\tilde{\pi}\left(\operatorname{int}\left(H B_{0}\right)\right)$ of $M$ is called the maximal Margulis neighborhood of the cusp $e$. Fix a base point $x_{0}$ in $\widetilde{M}$ belonging to $H_{0} \cap C \Lambda \Gamma$.

We define the rational and irrational lines in $M$ and the depth of a rational line as in the introduction. If $\Gamma$ is geometrically finite, then a geodesic line starting from $e$ in $M$ and contained in $\tilde{\pi}(C \Lambda \Gamma)$ is rational or irrational or converges to some cusp distinct from $e$. Any rational line $r$ in $M$ has a lift in $\tilde{M}$ starting from $\xi_{0}$, which is unique modulo the action of $\Gamma_{0}$. The endpoint of any such lift is the center of a horosphere $\gamma H_{0}$ for some $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$. The map $r \mapsto \Gamma_{0} \gamma \Gamma_{0}$ from the set of rational lines to the set of non-trivial double cosets $\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}\right) / \Gamma_{0}$ is a bijection (see [HP2, Lemma 2.7]). It follows from its definition that the depth of $r$ is $d\left(H_{0}, \gamma H_{0}\right)$. In particular, the number $\mathcal{N}_{e}(t)$ of rational lines with depth at most $t$ is equal to

$$
\mathcal{N}_{e}^{\prime}(t)=\operatorname{Card}\left\{\Gamma_{0} \gamma \Gamma_{0} \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}\right) / \Gamma_{0} \mid d\left(H_{0}, \gamma H_{0}\right) \leq t\right\}
$$

If $e$ is a bounded cusp, then since $\Gamma$ is discrete, the set of depths of rational lines is a discrete subset of $\mathbb{R}$ with finite multiplicities (see [HP2]).

We denote by $d_{\xi_{0}}$ the Hamenstädt distance on $\partial \widetilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$, which is invariant under $\Gamma_{0}$, defined by (see [HP1, Appendix], where there is a sign mistake, as well as in [HP3])

$$
d_{\xi_{0}}(a, b)=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} e^{+t} d_{r(t)}(a, b)
$$

with $a, b \in \partial \tilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$ and $r:\left[0,+\infty\left[\rightarrow \tilde{M}\right.\right.$ a geodesic ray with origin on $H_{0}$ and converging to $\xi_{0}$. Note that our distance $d_{\xi_{0}}$ is only equivalent to the distance associated to ( $\xi_{0}, H_{0}$ ) introduced in [Ham] but since most of the inspiration comes from this paper, we will, nevertheless, call $d_{\xi_{0}}$ the Hamenstädt distance.
2.3. The parabolic manifold. It turns out that the most intrinsic way of expressing the results mentioned in the introduction is to work with the parabolic manifold $M_{0}=\Gamma_{0} \backslash \tilde{M}$ (see Figure 1).

We denote by $H_{\infty}, H B_{\infty}$ the image in $M_{0}$ (by the canonical map) of $H_{0}, H B_{0}$, respectively. For every rational line $r$, we denote by $H_{r}, H B_{r}$ the image in $M_{0}$ of $\gamma H_{0}, \gamma H B_{0}$ for any representative $\gamma$ of the double coset corresponding to $r$. Note that the subsets $H B_{r}$, for the rational lines $r$, have pairwise disjoint interiors (these are the homeomorphic images of the corresponding open horoballs in $\tilde{M})$. Note that $D(r)=$ $d_{M_{0}}\left(H_{\infty}, H_{r}\right)$ for every rational line $r$.

We denote by $\infty$ the point at infinity of $M_{0}$ corresponding to the point at infinity $\xi_{0}$ of $\tilde{M}$. Thus when $M$ has finite volume, $H B_{\infty}=\Gamma_{0} \backslash H B_{0}$ is a neighborhood of the end $\infty$ in $M_{0}$. Under the map $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M_{0}$, the set of orbits under $\Gamma_{0}$ of the geodesic lines starting from $\xi_{0}$


Figure 1. The parabolic manifold $M_{0}$.
in $\tilde{M}$ can be (and will be) identified with the set of geodesic lines starting from $\infty$ in $M_{0}$. We denote by $\partial M_{0}$ the quotient $\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\partial \tilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}\right.$ ), which can be (and will be) identified with the set of endpoints of the geodesic lines starting from $\infty$ in $M_{0}$. The endpoint of the rational line $r$ (seen in $M_{0}$ ) is the point at infinity of $H_{r}$.

Since the Hamenstädt distance $d_{\xi_{0}}$ is invariant under $\Gamma_{0}$, we denote by $d_{\infty}$ its quotient distance on $\partial M_{0}$. If $L, L^{\prime}$ are geodesic lines starting from $\infty$ in $M_{0}$ with endpoints $\xi, \xi^{\prime}$, we define their Hamenstädt distance by $d_{\infty}\left(L, L^{\prime}\right)=d_{\infty}\left(\xi, \xi^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $r$ be a rational line and $t \geq 0$ be given. We denote by $H_{r, t}$ the set of points in $H B_{r}$ at distance $t$ from $H_{r}$. Then $H_{r, t}$ is the image under the projection $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M_{0}$ of any horosphere $\gamma H_{t}$, contained in $\gamma H B_{0}$ and at distance $t$ from $\gamma H_{0}$, for any representative $\gamma$ of the double coset corresponding to $r$.

Let $A$ be a subset of $M_{0}$. Define the shadow of $A$ seen from $\infty$ to be the set $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} A$ of points in $\partial M_{0}$ that are the endpoint of a geodesic line starting from $\infty$ and passing through $A$. It is the image under the projection $\left(\partial \widetilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}\right) \rightarrow \partial M_{0}$ of the shadow seen from $\xi_{0}$ of the preimage of $A$ by $\widetilde{M} \rightarrow M_{0}$.

Let $\left(\mu_{x}\right)_{x \in \tilde{M}}$ be the Patterson-Sullivan measures of dimension $\delta$ for $\Gamma$, constructed in $\S 2.1$ with the basepoint $x_{\Gamma}=x_{0}$. Let $p_{0}: \partial \tilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\} \rightarrow H_{0}$ be the $\Gamma_{0}$-equivariant homeomorphism, which maps $\xi$ in $\partial \widetilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$ to the unique intersection point of the horosphere $H_{0}$ with the geodesic line between $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi$. Let $\rho:[0,+\infty[\rightarrow \widetilde{M}$ be the geodesic ray, with origin $x_{0}$ and converging to $\xi_{0}$. As $t$ tends to $+\infty$, the measure $e^{\delta t} \mu_{\rho(t)}$ converges weakly to a measure, denoted by $\mu_{\xi_{0}}$, on $\partial \tilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$. Its support is $\Lambda \Gamma-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$ and it is invariant by $\Gamma_{0}$. Note that $\mu_{\xi_{0}}$ is absolutely continuous with respect to the Patterson-Sullivan measures; more precisely for every $\xi$ in $\partial \widetilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$ and $x \in \widetilde{M}$,

$$
\frac{d \mu_{\xi_{0}}}{d \mu_{x}}(\xi)=e^{-\delta \beta_{\xi}\left(p_{0}(\xi), x\right)}
$$

By invariance, the measure $\mu_{\xi_{0}}$ induces a measure $\mu_{\infty}$ on $\partial M_{0}$, called the PattersonSullivan measure on $\partial M_{0}$. Its support is $\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\Lambda \Gamma-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}\right)$, which is compact if $\xi_{0}$ is a bounded parabolic point.

## 3. Estimating the relative growth

We use $[\mathbf{G H}]$ for notation and background on Gromov-hyperbolic spaces.
THEOREM 3.1. Let $H$, G be two discrete subgroups of isometries of a Gromov-hyperbolic proper metric space $X$, with $H$ contained in $G$. Let $x_{0}$ be any point in $X$ and $f_{G}(t)$ be the number of $g$ in $G$ such that $d_{X}\left(g x_{0}, x_{0}\right) \leq t$. Let $f_{H \backslash G}(t)$ be the number of cosets $H g$ in $H \backslash G$ such that $d_{H \backslash X}\left(H g x_{0}, H x_{0}\right) \leq t$. If the limit set of $H$ is properly contained in the limit set of $G$, then there is a constant $c>0$ such that for every $t>0$,

$$
\frac{1}{c} f_{G}(t-c) \leq f_{H \backslash G}(t) \leq f_{G}(t)
$$

Proof. The second inequality is obvious. Let us prove the first one. The result is easy if $H$ is finite; hence, we assume that $H$ is infinite. Note that since $\Lambda H$ is properly contained in $\Lambda G$, the group $G$ is then non-elementary. Recall that a discrete group of isometries of $X$ acts properly on the complement in $X \cup \partial X$ of its limit set.

In particular, there exists a point $\xi$ in $\Lambda G$ and an open neighbourhood $U$ of $\xi$ in $X \cup \partial X$ such that the number $N$ of elements $\alpha$ in $H$ such that $\alpha U$ meets $U$ is finite. Since $\xi$ belongs to $\Lambda G$ and $G$ is non-elementary, there exists a hyperbolic element $\gamma$ in $G$ whose fixed points are both contained in $U$. Since the action of $\gamma$ on $X \cup \partial X$ has a North-South dynamics, there exists an integer $N^{\prime} \geq 0$ such that the sets $\gamma^{k} U$ for $k=0, \ldots, N^{\prime}$ cover $X \cup \partial X$.

For $y \in X$ and $V \subset X$, define

$$
f_{V, y}(t)=\operatorname{Card}\left\{g \in G: g x_{0} \in B_{X}(y, t) \cap V\right\}
$$

Note that $f_{H \backslash G}(t) \geq(1 / N) f_{U, x_{0}}(t)$. For a contradiction, assume that for every integer $n>0$, there exists $t_{n} \geq n$ such that $f_{U, x_{0}}\left(t_{n}\right) \leq(1 / n) f_{G}\left(t_{n}-n\right)$. Let $T=$ $\sup _{k=0, \ldots, N^{\prime}} d\left(x_{0}, \gamma^{-k} x_{0}\right)$. Then
$f_{G}\left(t_{n}-T\right) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{N^{\prime}} f_{\gamma^{k} U, x_{0}}\left(t_{n}-T\right)=\sum_{k=0}^{N^{\prime}} f_{U, \gamma^{-k} x_{0}}\left(t_{n}-T\right) \leq N^{\prime} f_{U, x_{0}}\left(t_{n}\right) \leq \frac{N^{\prime}}{n} f_{G}\left(t_{n}-n\right)$.
Note that $f_{G}$ is non-decreasing. Hence, for $n$ big enough, say $n \geq \max \left\{N^{\prime}, T\right\}+1$, we have

$$
f_{G}\left(t_{n}-T\right) \leq \frac{N^{\prime}}{n} f_{G}\left(t_{n}-n\right)<f_{G}\left(t_{n}-T\right)
$$

This contradiction ends the proof of Theorem 3.1.
The preceding theorem (whose proof was inspired by [Rob]) and the following easy and well-known result imply Theorem 1.1 of the introduction.

Lemma 3.2. Let $H, G$ be two non-elementary quasi-convex discrete subgroups of isometries of a Gromov-hyperbolic proper metric space $X$, with $H$ contained in $G$. Then $H$ has finite index in $G$ if and only if the limit set of $H$ equals the limit set of $G$.

We now turn to the estimation of the growth of $\mathcal{N}_{e}(t)$, which is one of the main steps in the proof of the Khintchine-Sullivan Theorem 5.1. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 3.3. For every positive constants $A, \delta, \delta_{0}^{\prime}$ with $\delta>\delta_{0}^{\prime}$, there exist an integer $N \geq 1$ and a constant $B>0$ such that for all positive real sequences $\left(b_{n}\right),\left(c_{n}\right)$ with $c_{n} \leq A e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime} n}, b_{n} \leq A e^{\delta n}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} c_{n-k} \geq(1 / A) e^{\delta n}$, we have $\sum_{k=1}^{N} b_{n+k} \geq B e^{\delta n}$.

Proof. Let

$$
N=E\left(\frac{1}{\delta-\delta_{0}^{\prime}}\left|\log \frac{A^{3}}{1-e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime}-\delta}}\right|\right)+2
$$

where $E(\cdot)$ denotes the integer part. Note that

$$
\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} c_{n+N-k} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n} A e^{\delta k} A e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime}(n+N-k)}=A^{2} e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime}(n+N)} \frac{e^{\left(\delta-\delta_{0}^{\prime}\right)(n+1)}-1}{e^{\delta-\delta_{0}^{\prime}}-1} \leq \frac{A^{2} e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime} N}}{1-e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime}-\delta}} e^{\delta n}
$$

Since $c_{n+N-k} \leq A e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime} N}$ for $k \geq n+1$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
A e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime} N} \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+N} b_{k} & \geq \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+N} b_{k} c_{n+N-k}=\sum_{k=0}^{n+N} b_{k} c_{n+N-k}-\sum_{k=0}^{n} b_{k} c_{n+N-k} \\
& \geq\left(\frac{1}{A} e^{\delta N}-\frac{A^{2} e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime} N}}{1-e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime}-\delta}}\right) e^{\delta n}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the result, by the definition of $N$.
THEOREM 3.4. With the notation in $\S 2$, assume that $e$ is a bounded cusp. If $f_{\tilde{\pi}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta t}$ and $\delta_{0}<\delta$, then $\mathcal{N}_{e}^{\prime}(t) \asymp e^{\delta t}$.

Proof. Let $X=C \Lambda \Gamma$, which is a convex subset of $\tilde{M}$, and, hence, an $\eta$-hyperbolic metric space for some $\eta \geq 0$. Recall that $X$ is $\Gamma$-invariant and closed and contains $x_{0}$.

Choose a representative for every non-trivial double coset $[\gamma]$ in $\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}\right) / \Gamma_{0}$ and denote it by the same symbol, such that

$$
d\left(x_{0},[\gamma] x_{0}\right)=\min _{\alpha, \alpha^{\prime} \in \Gamma_{0}} d\left(x_{0}, \alpha[\gamma] \alpha^{\prime} x_{0}\right)
$$

Lemma 3.5. The geodesic segment $\left[x_{0},[\gamma] x_{0}\right]$ is at bounded distance from the common perpendicular segment between $H B_{0}$ and $[\gamma] H B_{0}$.

Proof. For every $\gamma$ in $\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}$, the common perpendicular segment $[u, v]$ in $\tilde{M}$ between $H B_{0}$ and $\gamma H B_{0}$ (with $u \in H B_{0}$ ) is contained in $X$. Its length is the minimal distance between a point in $H B_{0}$ and a point in $\gamma H B_{0}$. Since $\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(H_{0} \cap X\right)$ is compact, by multiplying $\gamma$ on the left (respectively right) by an element of $\Gamma_{0}$, the distance $d\left(x_{0}, u\right)$ (respectively $d\left(\gamma x_{0}, v\right)$ ) can be made less than a constant. The result follows.

By this lemma, there exists a constant $\tau_{1} \geq 0$ such that

$$
d\left(x_{0},[\gamma] x_{0}\right)-\tau_{1} \leq d\left(H_{0},[\gamma] H_{0}\right) \leq d\left(x_{0},[\gamma] x_{0}\right)
$$

Since $f_{\tilde{\pi}}(t) \leq c e^{\delta t}$ for some $c>0$, we immediately have the upper bound $\mathcal{N}_{e}^{\prime}(t) \leq$ $c e^{\delta \tau_{1}} e^{\delta t}$. Let us now prove the analogous minoration.

The horoballs $H B_{0}$ and $[\gamma] H B_{0}$ are convex. Then, by Lemma 3.5, the piecewise geodesic path from $\alpha^{-1} x_{0}$ to $x_{0}$, then from $x_{0}$ to $[\gamma] x_{0}$, then from $[\gamma] x_{0}$ to $[\gamma] \alpha^{\prime} x_{0}$,
is quasigeodesic in $X$. Therefore, there exists an integer $\tau_{2}$, such that for every $[\gamma]$ in $\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}\right) / \Gamma_{0}$ and every $\alpha, \alpha^{\prime}$ in $\Gamma_{0}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
d\left(x_{0}, \alpha[\gamma] \alpha^{\prime} x_{0}\right)-\tau_{2} & \leq d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right)+d\left(H_{0},[\gamma] H_{0}\right)+d\left(x_{0}, \alpha^{\prime} x_{0}\right) \\
& \leq d\left(x_{0}, \alpha[\gamma] \alpha^{\prime} x_{0}\right)+\tau_{2} . \tag{*}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $f_{\tilde{\pi}}(t) \geq c e^{\delta t}$ for some $c>0$ and by Lemma 3.3 (with $c_{n}=1$ for all $n$ ), there exist an integer $N$ and a constant $\tau_{3}>0$ such that $a_{n} \geq \tau_{3} e^{\delta n}$, where

$$
a_{n}=\operatorname{Card}\left\{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid n-N<d\left(x_{0}, \gamma x_{0}\right) \leq n\right\} .
$$

Up to normalizing the metric of $X$ by $1 / N$, we may (and we will) assume that $N=1$. Indeed, let $Y$ be a proper $\eta$-hyperbolic space. Let $\Gamma$ be a discrete group of isometries of $Y$ with a critical exponent $\delta$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be a given constant. Then the metric space $\epsilon Y$ (which is the set $Y$ with the metric $d_{\epsilon}=\epsilon d_{Y}$ ) is a proper $(\epsilon \eta)$-hyperbolic space and the group $\Gamma$ is still a discrete group of isometries of $\epsilon Y$, with critical exponent $\delta / \epsilon$. It follows easily that if we prove the result for $(1 / N) X$, then it also holds for $X$.

Let $\delta_{0}^{\prime}$ be a real number such that $\delta_{0}<\delta_{0}^{\prime}<\delta$. In particular, by the definition of $\delta_{0}$, we have $\operatorname{Card}\left\{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0} \mid d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \leq n\right\}=O\left(e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime} n}\right)$. Let
$b_{k}=\operatorname{Card}\left\{\left([\gamma], \alpha^{\prime}\right) \in\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}\right) / \Gamma_{0}\right) \times \Gamma_{0} \mid k-1<d\left(H_{0},[\gamma] H_{0}\right)+d\left(x_{0}, \alpha^{\prime} x_{0}\right) \leq k\right\}$
and

$$
c_{k}=\operatorname{Card}\left\{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0} \mid k-1-2 \tau_{2}<d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \leq k+1\right\} .
$$

By the formula (*), we have

$$
a_{n} \leq \sum_{k=0}^{n+\tau_{2}} b_{k} c_{n+\tau_{2}-k}+\operatorname{Card}\left\{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0} \mid n-1<d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \leq n\right\}
$$

Since the last cardinal is $O\left(e^{\delta_{0}^{\prime} n}\right)$, the assumptions of Lemma 3.3 for the sequences $\left(b_{n}\right),\left(c_{n}\right)$ are satisfied for some constant $A>0$. Hence, there exist an integer $N^{\prime}$ and a constant $c^{\prime}>0$ such that $\operatorname{Card}\left\{\left([\gamma], \alpha^{\prime}\right) \in\left(\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\Gamma-\Gamma_{0}\right) / \Gamma_{0}\right) \times \Gamma_{0} \mid n-N^{\prime}<\right.$ $\left.d\left(H_{0},[\gamma] H_{0}\right)+d\left(x_{0}, \alpha^{\prime} x_{0}\right) \leq n\right\} \geq c^{\prime} e^{\delta n}$.

Iterating this procedure, we get the minoration.
Theorem 1.2 in the introduction now follows from Theorem 3.4 and the following lemma.

Lemma 3.6. If $M$ is geometrically finite and if the critical exponent of each parabolic group is strictly less than $\delta$, then $f_{\tilde{\pi}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta t}$.

Proof. Since $\Gamma$ contains a parabolic element, the length spectrum of $M$ is non-discrete in $\mathbb{R}$ (see [Dal]). Since $M$ is geometrically finite and the critical exponent of each parabolic group is strictly less than $\delta$, it follows from [DOP] that the Bowen-Margulis measure of $M$ is finite. The result then follows by [Rob], as recalled in the introduction.

## 4. The fluctuating density property

This section is devoted to the proof of the following result, which is the second key step in the proof of the Khintchine-Sullivan Theorem 5.1. It is basically due to Sullivan [Sul3] in the finite volume, constant curvature case and due to Stratmann and Velani [SV] in the geometrically finite, constant curvature case. See also [HV] for other applications.

Theorem 4.1. With the notation from §2, assume that e is a bounded cusp, $\Gamma$ is of divergent type and $f_{\pi_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$. Then there exists a constant $c>0$ such that for every rational line $r$ and every $t \geq 0$, one has

$$
\frac{1}{c} e^{-\delta D(r)+2\left(\delta_{0}-\delta\right) t} \leq \mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, t}\right) \leq c e^{-\delta D(r)+2\left(\delta_{0}-\delta\right) t}
$$

Remarks. (1) The assumption that $\Gamma$ is of divergent type is sufficient for the application to Theorem 5.1. However, it can be removed from the statement, by using in the proof of Proposition 4.2 the general construction of the Patterson-Sullivan measure. Note that if $f_{\tilde{\pi}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta t}$, then $\Gamma$ is of divergent type.
(2) The assumption that $f_{\widetilde{\pi}_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$ cannot be removed, since some control of the Poincaré series of the parabolic subgroup is needed in order to estimate the behaviour inside horoballs of the Patterson-Sullivan measures. Note that it implies that $\Gamma_{0}$ is of divergent type, hence by [DOP, Proposition 2] that $\delta_{0}<\delta$.

Let us consider the map $\phi: \tilde{M} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $\phi(y)$ is the total mass of the PattersonSullivan measure $\mu_{y}$. Note that, by the equivariance properties of the Patterson-Sullivan measures, we have $\phi(\gamma y)=\phi(y)$ for every $y$ in $\tilde{M}$ and $\gamma$ in $\Gamma$.

Proposition 4.2. Assume that $\Gamma$ is of divergent type and $f_{\tilde{\pi}_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$. For every $A \geq 0$, there exists $B>0$ such that for every $y$ in $\widetilde{M}$ at distance at most $A$ from the geodesic ray from $x_{0}$ to $\xi_{0}$, we have

$$
\frac{1}{B} e^{\left(2 \delta_{0}-\delta\right) d\left(x_{0}, y\right)} \leq \phi(y) \leq B e^{\left(2 \delta_{0}-\delta\right) d\left(x_{0}, y\right)}
$$

Proof. Let $P=P_{\Gamma}$ be the Poincaré series of $\Gamma$. As $\Gamma$ is of divergent type, and as the constant map with value 1 on $\tilde{M} \cup \partial \widetilde{M}$ is continuous with compact support, it follows from the construction of the Patterson-Sullivan measures (see §2) that, for some $s_{i} \rightarrow \delta^{+}$,

$$
\phi(y)=\lim _{i \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{P\left(y, x_{0}, s_{i}\right)}{P\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, s_{i}\right)}
$$

Choose a representative for every right coset $[\gamma]$ in $\Gamma_{0} \backslash \Gamma$ and denote it by the same symbol, such that

$$
d\left(x_{0},[\gamma] x_{0}\right)=\min _{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0}} d\left(x_{0}, \alpha[\gamma] x_{0}\right) .
$$

By the properties of quasi-geodesics in the Gromov-hyperbolic space $\tilde{M}$, for every $A \geq 0$, there exists a constant $c_{1}>0$, depending only on $A$, such that if $y$ is at distance at most $A$ from the geodesic between $x_{0}$ and $\xi_{0}$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d(y, \alpha y)+d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+d\left(x_{0},[\gamma] x_{0}\right)-c_{1} & \leq d\left(y, \alpha[\gamma] x_{0}\right) \\
& \leq d(y, \alpha y)+d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+d\left(x_{0},[\gamma] x_{0}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $Q\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, s\right)=\sum_{[\gamma] \in \Gamma_{0} \backslash \Gamma} e^{-s d\left(x_{0},[\gamma] x_{0}\right)}$. By uniquely writing each element of $\Gamma$ in the form $\alpha[\gamma]$ for $\alpha \in \Gamma_{0}$, we get from these inequalities that, for $s>\delta$,
$e^{-s d\left(y, x_{0}\right)} P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, s) Q\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, s\right) \leq P\left(y, x_{0}, s\right) \leq e^{s c_{1}} e^{-s d\left(y, x_{0}\right)} P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, s) Q\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, s\right)$.
Hence, as the series $P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, s)$ converges at $s=\delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{-\delta c_{1}} e^{-\delta d\left(y, x_{0}\right)} \frac{P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, \delta)}{P_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, \delta\right)} \leq \phi(y) \leq e^{\delta c_{1}} e^{-\delta d\left(y, x_{0}\right)} \frac{P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, \delta)}{P_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, \delta\right)} . \tag{i}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $y$ is at distance at most $A$ from the geodesic from $x_{0}$ to $\xi_{0}$. Hence, there exists a constant $c_{2}$ depending only on $A$ such that, for every $\alpha$ in $\Gamma_{0}$, if $d(y, \alpha y) \geq c_{2}$, then the piecewise geodesic from $x_{0}$ to $y$, then from $y$ to $\alpha y$, then from $\alpha y$ to $\alpha x_{0}$ is a quasi-geodesic. Therefore, there exists a constant $c_{3} \geq 0$ such that, if $d(y, \alpha y) \geq c_{2}$, then

$$
d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \geq 2 d\left(x_{0}, y\right)+d(y, \alpha y)-c_{3} \geq 2 d\left(x_{0}, y\right)+c_{2}-c_{3} .
$$

Note that, by the triangular inequality, $d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \leq 2 d\left(x_{0}, y\right)+d(y, \alpha y)$. In particular, if $d(y, \alpha y)<c_{2}$, then $d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right)<2 d\left(x_{0}, y\right)+c_{2}$.

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, \delta)= \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0} \\
d(y, \alpha y)<c_{2}}} e^{-\delta d(y, \alpha y)}+\sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0} \\
d(y, \alpha y) \geq c_{2}}} e^{-\delta d(y, \alpha y)} \\
& \leq \operatorname{Card}\left\{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0}: d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \leq 2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}\right\} \\
&+e^{2 \delta d\left(y, x_{0}\right)} \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0}}} e^{-\delta d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right)} . \\
& d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \geq 2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}-c_{3}
\end{aligned} .
$$

The last sum is at most

$$
\sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\ n \geq 2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}-c_{3}-1}} \operatorname{Card}\left\{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0}: n \leq d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \leq n+1\right\} e^{-\delta n} .
$$

Recall that, by assumption, there exists a constant $c_{4}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{c_{4}} e^{\delta_{0} n} \leq \operatorname{Card}\left\{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0}: d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right) \leq n\right\} \leq c_{4} e^{\delta_{0} n}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, \delta) & \leq c_{4} e^{\delta_{0}\left(2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}\right)}+e^{2 \delta d\left(y, x_{0}\right)} \sum_{\substack{n \in \mathbb{N} \\
n \geq 2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}-c_{3}-1}} c_{4} e^{\delta_{0}(n+1)} e^{-\delta n} \\
& \leq c_{4} e^{\delta_{0}\left(2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}\right)}+c_{4} e^{\delta_{0}} e^{2 \delta d\left(y, x_{0}\right)} \frac{e^{\left(\delta_{0}-\delta\right)\left(2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}-c_{3}-1\right)}}{1-e^{\delta_{0}-\delta}}=c_{5} e^{2 \delta_{0} d\left(y, x_{0}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c_{5}>0$ depending only on $\delta, \delta_{0}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$.
Conversely,

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, \delta) & \geq \sum_{\substack{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0} \\
d(y, \alpha y)<c_{2}}} e^{-\delta d(y, \alpha y)} \\
& \geq e^{-\delta c_{2}} \operatorname{Card}\left\{\alpha \in \Gamma_{0}: d\left(x_{0}, \alpha x_{0}\right)<2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}-c_{3}\right\} \\
& \geq \frac{1}{c_{4}} e^{-\delta c_{2}} e^{\delta_{0}\left(2 d\left(y, x_{0}\right)+c_{2}-c_{3}-1\right)}=c_{6} e^{2 \delta_{0} d\left(y, x_{0}\right)},
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constant $c_{6}>0$ depending only on $\delta, \delta_{0}, c_{2}, c_{3}, c_{4}$.

Since $P_{\Gamma_{0}}\left(x_{0}, x_{0}, \delta\right)$ does not depend on $y$, Proposition 4.2 follows from equation (i) and the lower and upper bounds on $P_{\Gamma_{0}}(y, y, \delta)$.

For every rational line $r$, let $\gamma_{r}$ be any representative of the double class in $\Gamma_{0} \backslash(\Gamma-$ $\left.\Gamma_{0}\right) / \Gamma_{0}$ corresponding to $r$ such that

$$
d\left(x_{0}, \gamma_{r} x_{0}\right)=\min _{\alpha, \beta \in \Gamma_{0}} d\left(x_{0}, \alpha \gamma_{r} \beta x_{0}\right) .
$$

Proposition 4.3. With the notation from $\S 2$, assume that $e$ is a bounded cusp. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that for every $t \geq 0$ and every rational ray $r$, if $y_{r, t}$ is the intersection point of $\gamma_{r} H_{t}$ and the geodesic ray from $\gamma_{r} x_{0}$ to $\gamma_{r} \xi_{0}$, then

$$
\frac{1}{c} e^{-\delta(t+D(r))} \phi\left(y_{r, t}\right) \leq \mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, t}\right) \leq c e^{-\delta(t+D(r))} \phi\left(y_{r, t}\right) .
$$

Proof. By the definition of $\mu_{\infty}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ (see §2), we have

$$
\mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, t}\right)=\mu_{\xi_{0}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} \gamma_{r} H_{t}\right)
$$

We start with a few preliminary remarks. By Lemma 3.5, there is a constant $c_{7} \geq 0$ such that

$$
d\left(H_{0}, \gamma_{r} H_{0}\right) \leq d\left(x_{0}, \gamma_{r} x_{0}\right) \leq d\left(H_{0}, \gamma_{r} H_{0}\right)+c_{7}
$$

Recall from $\S 2$ that $D(r)=d\left(H_{0}, \gamma_{r} H_{0}\right)$. The point $\gamma_{r} x_{0}$ lies at a uniformly (in $r$ ) bounded distance from the geodesic ray between $x_{0}$ to $\gamma_{r} \xi_{0}$, with its orthogonal projection to this ray lying between $x_{0}$ and the orthogonal projection to this ray of $y_{r, t}$, for $t$ big enough.

Hence, there exists a constant $c_{8} \geq 0$ such that for every rational line $r$ and $t \geq 0$,

$$
\left|d\left(x_{0}, y_{r, t}\right)-D(r)-t\right| \leq c_{8} .
$$

By the properties of the measure $\mu_{\xi_{0}}$ (see $\S 2.3$ ), for every compact subset $K$ of $\partial \tilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$, there exists a constant $c_{9}>0$ such that, for every $\xi$ in $K$,

$$
\frac{1}{c_{9}} \leq \frac{d \mu_{\xi_{0}}}{d \mu_{x_{0}}}(\xi) \leq c_{9} .
$$

In what follows, $K$ will be any compact subset of $\partial \tilde{M}-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$ containing the shadows seen from $\xi_{0}$ of every horoball $\gamma_{r} H_{0}$ as $r$ ranges over the rational lines. Such a $K$ exists, since by the choice of the representatives $[\gamma]$, there exists $R>0$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} \gamma_{r} H_{0} \subset \mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} B\left(x_{0}, R\right)$ for every rational line $r$.

Step 1. Let us prove first the upper bound in Proposition 4.3.
By the properties of the Patterson-Sullivan measures (see §2.1), we have, with $\beta$ the Buseman function for $\tilde{M}$,

$$
\phi\left(y_{r, t}\right)=\int_{\partial \tilde{M}} d \mu_{y_{r, t}}(\xi) \geq \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} \gamma_{r} H_{t}} d \mu_{y_{r, t}}(\xi)=\int_{\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0} \gamma_{r} H_{t}}} e^{-\delta \beta_{\xi}\left(y_{r, t}, x_{0}\right)} d \mu_{x_{0}}(\xi)
$$

Recall that $x_{0}$ (respectively $y_{r, t}$ ) are at uniformly (in $r, t$ ) bounded distances from the intersection point with $H_{0}$ (respectively $\gamma_{r} H_{t}$ ) of the geodesic line between $\xi_{0}$ and $\gamma_{r} \xi_{0}$. Hence, there exists a constant $c_{10} \geq 0$ such that for every $\xi$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} \gamma_{r} H_{t}$,

$$
\beta_{\xi}\left(y_{r, t}, x_{0}\right) \leq-d\left(y_{r, t}, x_{0}\right)+c_{10} .
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(y_{r, t}\right) & \geq e^{-\delta c_{10}} e^{\delta d\left(x_{0}, y_{r, t}\right)} \int_{\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} \gamma_{r} H_{t}} d \mu_{x_{0}}(\xi) \geq e^{-\delta c_{10}} e^{-\delta c_{8}} e^{\delta(D(r)+t)} \mu_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} \gamma_{r} H_{t}\right) \\
& \geq \frac{1}{c_{9}} e^{-\delta\left(c_{10}+c_{8}\right)} e^{\delta(D(r)+t)} \mu_{\xi_{0}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} \gamma_{r} H_{t}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This proves the first step.
Step 2. Let us now prove the lower bound in Proposition 4.3.
For a contradiction, suppose that there exist a sequence of rational lines $\left(r_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ and a sequence of non-negative real numbers $\left(t_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ with $t_{i}$ tending to $+\infty$, such that, with $y_{i}=y_{r_{i}, t_{i}}$ and $H_{i}=\gamma_{r_{i}} H_{t_{i}}$,

$$
\frac{1}{\phi\left(y_{i}\right)} e^{\delta\left(t_{i}+D\left(r_{i}\right)\right)} \mu_{\xi_{0}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}\right)
$$

tends to zero as $i$ tends to $\infty$.
Let $\left(X_{i}, *_{i}, d_{i}, G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of pointed metric spaces with group of isometries, where $X_{i}=\tilde{M}, *_{i}=y_{i}, d_{i}=d, G_{i}=\Gamma$. Since $\tilde{M}$ has pinched negative curvature $-\kappa^{2} \leq K \leq-1$, up to extracting a subsequence, the sequence $\left(X_{i}, *_{i}, d_{i}, G_{i}\right)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ converges for the equivariant pointed Hausdorff-Gromov convergence (see [Fuk]) to a proper $\mathrm{CAT}(-1)$ and $\mathrm{CAT}_{\mathrm{op}}\left(-\kappa^{2}\right)$ pointed geodesic metric space with group of isometries, that we denote by $\left(X_{\infty}, *_{\infty}, d_{\infty}, G_{\infty}\right)$. In particular, the metric spaces ( $\partial X_{i}, d_{*_{i}}$ ) converge for the Hausdorff-Gromov convergence to $\left(\partial X_{\infty}, d_{*_{\infty}}\right)$. We fix a definite convergence $\left(X_{i}, *_{i}\right) \rightarrow_{i}\left(X_{\infty}, *_{\infty}\right)$ (see [Gro1]), which induces a definite convergence $\partial X_{i} \rightarrow_{i} \partial X_{\infty}$.

Let $\nu_{i}=\left(1 / \phi\left(y_{i}\right)\right) \mu_{y_{i}}$, which is a probability measure on $\partial X_{i}$. Up to extracting a subsequence, the metric measured spaces ( $\partial X_{i}, d_{*_{i}}, \nu_{i}$ ) converge to the metric measured space $\left(\partial X_{\infty}, d_{*_{\infty}}, v_{\infty}\right)$; see $\left[\mathbf{G r o 2}\right.$, ch. $\left.3 \frac{1}{2}\right]$. We may assume that if $f_{i}: \partial X_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ are continuous maps converging to a continuous map $f: \partial X_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ for the definite convergence $\partial X_{i} \rightarrow \partial X_{\infty}$, then $\nu_{\infty}(f)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} \nu_{i}\left(f_{i}\right)$.

Since the horoball $H_{0}$ is precisely invariant under $\Gamma$ and $t_{i}$ tends to $+\infty$, for $i$ big enough, the only elements in $G_{i}$ which move the point $*_{i}$ less than any given constant are parabolic. By taking iterates, there exists $0<a \leq b<+\infty$ and, for every $i$ in $\mathbb{N}$, some $\alpha_{i}$ in $G_{i}$ such that $a \leq d_{i}\left(\alpha_{i} *_{i}, *_{i}\right) \leq b$. Hence, $G_{\infty}$ is a non-trivial parabolic group of isometries, fixing the point $\xi_{\infty}=\lim _{i} \gamma_{r_{i}} \xi_{0}$ of $\partial X_{\infty}$. Since $\nu_{i}$ is a Patterson-Sullivan measure of dimension $\delta_{i}=\delta$ for $G_{i}$, the measure $\nu_{\infty}$ is a Patterson-Sullivan measure of dimension $\delta$ for the isometry group $G_{\infty}$ on $X_{\infty}$. Since $G_{\infty}$ is parabolic and non-trivial, any closed subset of $\partial X_{\infty}$ not containing $\xi_{\infty}$ may be sent into any neighbourhood of $\xi_{\infty}$ by some element of $G_{\infty}$. By the absolutely continuous property, the measure for $v_{\infty}$ of any neighbourhood of $\xi_{\infty}$ is, therefore, non-zero.

Recall that for every $\xi$ in $\partial \widetilde{M}$ and every $u, v$ in $\tilde{M}$, one has, by the triangle inequality, $\beta_{\xi}(u, v) \geq-d(u, v)$. By the properties of the Patterson-Sullivan measures, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nu_{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}\right) & =\frac{1}{\phi\left(y_{i}\right)} \mu_{y_{i}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\phi\left(y_{i}\right)} e^{\delta d\left(y_{i}, x_{0}\right)} \mu_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}\right) \\
& \leq e^{\delta c_{8}} \frac{1}{\phi\left(y_{i}\right)} e^{\delta\left(t_{i}+D\left(r_{i}\right)\right)} \mu_{x_{0}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}\right) \leq c_{9} e^{\delta c_{8}} \frac{1}{\phi\left(y_{i}\right)} e^{\delta\left(t_{i}+D\left(r_{i}\right)\right)} \mu_{\xi_{0}}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $v_{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}\right)$ tends to zero as $i$ tends to $+\infty$. The family of subsets $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}$ converges for the definite convergence $\partial X_{i} \rightarrow \partial X_{\infty}$, up to extracting a subsequence, to a subset $V$ of $\partial X_{\infty}$ which is a neighbourhood of $\xi_{\infty}$. Indeed, up to extracting a subsequence, the points $\xi_{0} \in \partial X_{i}$ converge to $\xi_{0, \infty} \in \partial X_{\infty}$; since the horoball $H_{i}$ is centered at $\gamma_{r_{i}} \xi_{0}$ and passes through $y_{i}$, the point $*_{\infty}$, which is the limit of $y_{i}=*_{i}$, belongs to the geodesic between $\xi_{0, \infty}$ and $\xi_{\infty}$, and $V$ is the shadow seen from $\xi_{0, \infty}$ of the horosphere centered at $\xi_{\infty}$ and passing through $*_{\infty}$. Let $U$ be an open neighbourhood of $\xi_{\infty}$ such that $\bar{U} \subset \stackrel{\circ}{V}$. Let $f$ be a continuous map, with support contained in $U$, bounded by 1 , with value 1 in some neighbourhood of $\xi_{\infty}$. It is easy to construct continuous maps $f_{i}: \partial X_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ with support in $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}$, bounded by 1 , which converge to $f$ under $\partial X_{i} \rightarrow \partial X_{\infty}$. Since $\nu_{i}\left(f_{i}\right) \leq v_{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{i}\right)$, it follows that $\nu_{\infty}(f)=\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty} v_{i}\left(f_{i}\right)=0$. This contradicts the fact that $\xi_{\infty}$ belongs to the support of the measure $v_{\infty}$.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Note that $\gamma_{r}^{-1} y_{r, t}$ is the point at distance $t$ from $x_{0}$ on the geodesic between $x_{0}$ and $\xi_{0}$. Hence, by the invariance of $\phi$ and by Proposition 4.2, one has $\phi\left(y_{r, t}\right)=\phi\left(\gamma_{r}^{-1} y_{r, t}\right) \asymp e^{\left(2 \delta_{0}-\delta\right) t}$. The result then follows from Proposition 4.3.

## 5. The Khintchine-Sullivan theorem in variable curvature

A map $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$is called slowly varying if it is measurable and if there exist constants $B>0$ and $A \geq 1$ such that for every $x, y$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, if $|x-y| \leq B$, then $f(y) \leq A f(x)$. This implies, in particular, that $f$ is locally bounded, hence locally integrable. Note that $f$ is slowly varying if and only if there is a constant $C \geq 1$ such that for every $x, y$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, if $|x-y| \leq 1$, then $|\log f(x)-\log f(y)| \leq C$. In particular, if $\log f$ is Lipschitz, then $f$ is slowly varying. If $f$ is slowly varying, with $C$ as before, then for every $\epsilon>0$ and $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
e^{-C N \epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(N n)^{\epsilon} \leq \int_{N}^{\infty} f(t)^{\epsilon} d t \leq e^{C N \epsilon} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f(N n)^{\epsilon}
$$

Let $d_{\infty}$ be the Hamenstädt distance on the set of geodesic lines starting from $\infty$ in $M_{0}$ (see §2.3).

THEOREM 5.1. With the notation of $\S 2$, assume that $e$ is a bounded cusp, $f_{\tilde{\pi}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta t}$, and $f_{\pi_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be slowly varying. Let $E(f)$ be the set of geodesic lines $\xi$ in $M_{0}$ starting from $\infty$ such that there exist infinitely many rational lines $r$ in $M_{0}$ with $d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \leq f(D(r)) e^{-D(r)}$. Then $\mu_{\infty}(E(f))=0$ if and only if the integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)} d t$ converges and $\mu_{\infty}\left({ }^{c} E(f)\right)=0$ if and only if the integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} f(t)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)} d t$ diverges.

Note that Theorem 1.3 in the introduction then follows from Lemma 3.6. By the remarks following Theorem 4.1, the assumptions of Theorem 5.1 imply that $\Gamma$ is of divergent type and that $\delta_{0}<\delta$. We start the proof of Theorem 5.1 by some reduction on $f$.

Lemma 5.2. For every constant $\eta>0$, to prove this theorem, it is sufficient to prove it when, furthermore, $f(t) \leq \eta$ for every $t$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$.

Proof. Let $f: \mathbb{R}_{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{+}$be slowly varying. For $\eta>0$, let $f^{\prime}=\inf \{\eta, f\}$, which is also slowly varying. Assume that Theorem 5.1 holds for $f^{\prime}$. Let us prove that it holds for $f$. Let $F$ be the set of $t$ in $\mathbb{R}_{+}$such that $f(t)>\eta$.

If $F$ is bounded, then $E(f)=E\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ since there are only finitely many rational lines $r$ with $D(r)$ less than a constant. The convergence of the integral of $f^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ does not depend on the values of $f(t)$ for $t$ less than a constant. Hence, Theorem 5.1 holds for $f$ if and only if it holds for $f^{\prime}$.

Assume that $F$ is unbounded. Since $f$ is slowly varying, the integral of $f^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ diverges, as well as the integral of $\left(f^{\prime}\right)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$. Note that $E\left(f^{\prime}\right) \subset E(f)$. If the theorem holds for $f^{\prime}$, then $\mu_{\infty}\left({ }^{c} E\left(f^{\prime}\right)\right)=0$. Hence, $\mu_{\infty}\left({ }^{c} E(f)\right)=0$, so that the theorem holds for $f$.

In particular, we assume from now on that $f(t) \leq 1$.
By Theorem 3.4 and Lemma 3.3, there exist $c_{1}^{\prime}>0$ and an integer $N \geq 1$ such that for every $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$, the number $\mathcal{N}_{e}^{\prime \prime}(n)$ of rational lines $r$ such that $n \leq D(r)<n+N$ satisfies

$$
\frac{1}{c_{1}^{\prime}} e^{\delta n} \leq \mathcal{N}_{e}^{\prime \prime}(n) \leq c_{1}^{\prime} e^{\delta n}
$$

Define $H_{r, f}=H_{r,-\log f \circ D(r)}$ with the notation of $\S 2.3$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{n}$ be the set of shadows seen from $\infty$ of the $H_{r, f}$ 's where $r$ ranges over the rational lines with $N n \leq D(r)<(n+1) N$. Define $A_{n}=\cup \mathcal{A}_{n}$, which is a subset of $\partial M_{0}$. The proof of Theorem 5.1 is based on the next two propositions.
PROPOSITION 5.3. The sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mu_{\infty}\left(A_{n}\right)$ diverges if and only if the integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} f^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ diverges.
Proof. We start with the following lemma.
Lemma 5.4. For every $A \geq 0$, there exists $B \geq 0$ such that the following holds. Let $X$ be a $\operatorname{CAT}(-1)$ space, and $\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ be distinct points at infinity of $X$. Let $H_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ be horospheres centered at $\xi_{i}$ respectively, bounding disjoint open horoballs. Let $x_{i}$ be the intersection point with $H_{i}$ of the geodesic line between $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi_{i}$. For $t \geq 0$, let $H_{i, t}$ be the horosphere centered at $\xi_{i}$, contained in the horoball bounded by $H_{i}$ and at distance $t$ from $H_{i}$. If $\left|\beta_{\xi_{0}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq A$, then the shadows seen from $\xi_{0}$ of $H_{1, B}$ and $H_{2, B}$ are disjoint.

Proof. By the techniques of approximation by trees (see [GH, p. 33] or [CDP, Ch. 8]), this lemma follows from the particular case when $X$ is a tree $T$ (though the constant $B$ might be worse). See Figure 2.

Let $B=A / 2+1$. As a preliminary remark, note that if $\xi$, $\xi^{\prime}$ are distinct ends of the tree $T$, if $H$ is a horosphere centered at $\xi^{\prime}$ and $x$ is the intersection point with $H$ of the geodesic line between $\xi$ and $\xi^{\prime}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\xi} H=\mathcal{O}_{\xi} x$, since any geodesic line starting from $\xi$ that meets $H$ has to go through $x$.

With the notation of the claim, we consider two cases. Either $x_{1}$ belongs to the geodesic between $\xi_{0}$ and $x_{2}$, or it does not. In the second case (assuming that $x_{2}$ does not belong to the geodesic ray between $x_{1}$ and $\xi_{0}$, otherwise the situation is symmetric to the first case), the shadows (seen from $\xi_{0}$ ) of $x_{1}$ and of $x_{2}$ are disjoint, hence the shadows


Figure 2. Separating shadows in trees.
of $H_{1, t}, H_{2, t}$ are disjoint for any $t \geq 0$. Assume that the first case holds. In particular, $d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left|\beta_{\xi_{0}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq A$. (Though we will not need it, note that the shadow of $H_{2}$ is, hence, contained in the shadow of $H_{1}$.) Since $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ bound disjoint open horoballs, the point $x_{2}$ does not lie in the open horoball bounded by $H_{1}$. Hence, the intersection of [ $x_{1}, x_{2}$ ] with the geodesic ray between $x_{1}$ and $\xi_{1}$ has length at most $A / 2$. It follows that the shadows seen from $\xi_{0}$ of $H_{1, B}$ and $H_{2, B}$ are disjoint.

We now prove Proposition 5.3. By Lemma 5.4, there exists a constant $c_{2}^{\prime}>0$ (depending only on $N$ ) such that for every $n$ in $\mathbb{N}$ and all distinct rational lines $r, r^{\prime}$ with $N n \leq D(r), D\left(r^{\prime}\right)<N(n+1)$, the intersection of $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, c_{2}^{\prime}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}}$ is empty. By the reduction argument on $f$, we assume from now on that $f(t) \leq e^{-c_{2}^{\prime}}$ for every $t$. In particular, $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, f}$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, c_{2}^{\prime}}$. Hence, the union $A_{n}=\cup \mathcal{A}_{n}$ is a disjoint union. By Theorem 4.1, we then have

$$
\mu_{\infty}\left(A_{n}\right)=\sum_{N n \leq D(r)<N(n+1)} \mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, f}\right) \asymp \sum_{N n \leq D(r)<N(n+1)} e^{-\delta D(r)+2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right) \log f \circ D(r)}
$$

Since $f$ is slowly varying, we have

$$
\mu_{\infty}\left(A_{n}\right) \asymp \mathcal{N}_{e}^{\prime \prime}(N n) e^{-\delta N n+2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right) \log f(N n)} \asymp f(N n)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}
$$

Since $f$ is slowly varying, the sum $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(N n)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ converges if and only if the integral $\int_{1}^{+\infty} f(t)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)} d t$ converges. This proves Proposition 5.3.

Proposition 5.5. There exists a constant $c>0$ such that if $n, m$ are distinct integers, then

$$
\mu_{\infty}\left(A_{n} \cap A_{m}\right) \leq c \mu_{\infty}\left(A_{n}\right) \mu_{\infty}\left(A_{m}\right) .
$$

Proof. We start with the following lemma.
LEMMA 5.6. For every $A \geq 0$, there exists a constant $c(A)>0$ such that the following holds. Let $X$ be a $C A T(-1)$ space and $\xi_{0}, \xi_{1}, \xi_{2}$ be distinct points at infinity of $X$. Let $H_{i}$ for $i=1,2$ be horospheres centered at $\xi_{i}$ respectively, bounding disjoint open horoballs.


Figure 3. Overlapping shadows in trees.

Let $x_{i}$ be the intersection point with $H_{i}$ of the geodesic line between $\xi_{0}$ and $\xi_{i}$. For $t \geq 0$, let $H_{i, t}$ be the horosphere centered at $\xi_{i}$, contained in the horoball bounded by $H_{i}$ and at distance $t$ from $H_{i}$. Assume that $\beta_{\xi_{0}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq A$. Let $t \geq c(A)$ be such that $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{1, t}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{2, t}$ meet. Then $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{2}$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{1, t}$.

Proof. By the techniques of approximation by trees (see [GH, p. 33] or [CDP, Ch. 8]), this lemma follows from the particular case when $X$ is a tree $T$ (though the constant $c(A)$ might be worse). See Figure 3.

In the case of a tree, one can take $c(A)=A / 2+1$. Indeed, let $t \geq A / 2+1$ and for $i=1,2$, let $x_{i, t}$ be the intersection point with $H_{i, t}$ of the geodesic line from $\xi_{0}$ to $\xi_{i}$. Note that $x_{i}$ is contained in the geodesic ray from $\xi_{0}$ to $x_{i, t}$ for $i=1,2$. Since $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{1, t}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{2, t}$ meet, and by the preliminary remark in the proof of Lemma 5.4, there exists a geodesic line $L$ starting from $\xi_{0}$ which passes through both $x_{1, t}$ and $x_{2, t}$.

Suppose that $L$ goes first through $x_{2, t}$, then through $x_{1, t}$. Since $H_{1}, H_{2}$ are disjoint, the points $x_{2}, x_{2, t}, x_{1}, x_{1, t}$ are in this order on $L$ and

$$
\beta_{\xi_{0}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=d\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \geq 2 t>A,
$$

a contradiction. Hence, $L$ goes first through $x_{1, t}$, then through $x_{2, t}$.
Since $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are disjoint, the geodesic line $L$, which enters $H_{1}$ at $x_{1}$, has to exit $H_{1}$ at a point $x_{1}^{\prime}$ such that $x_{1}, x_{1, t}, x_{1}^{\prime}, x_{2}, x_{2, t}$ are in this order on $L$. Hence, every geodesic line starting from $\xi_{0}$ which meets $H_{2}$ has to go through $H_{1, t}$. This says exactly that $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{2}$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{\xi_{0}} H_{1, t}$.

Let us now prove Proposition 5.5. With the notation of Lemma 5.6, let $c_{3}^{\prime}=c(0)$. Assume that $n<m$. Let $R_{k}$ be the set of rational lines $r$ with $N k \leq D(r)<N(k+1)$. To simplify notation, let $\mathcal{O}_{r, f}=\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, f}$.

By the reduction argument on $f$, we may assume that $f(t) \leq e^{-c_{3}^{\prime}}$ for every $t$. By Lemma 5.6, for all rational lines $r, r^{\prime}$ with $D(r)<D\left(r^{\prime}\right)$, if $\mathcal{O}_{r^{\prime}, f}$ meets $\mathcal{O}_{r, f}$, then $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r^{\prime}}$ is contained in $\mathcal{O}_{r, f}$.

Since $A_{n}=\bigcup_{r \in R_{n}} \mathcal{O}_{r, f}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\infty}\left(A_{m} \cap A_{n}\right) & \leq \sum_{r \in R_{n}} \mu_{\infty}\left(A_{m} \cap \mathcal{O}_{r, f}\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{r \in R_{n}} \sum_{r^{\prime} \in R_{m}: \mathcal{O}_{r^{\prime}, f} \cap \mathcal{O}_{r, f} \neq \emptyset} \mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{r^{\prime}, f} \cap \mathcal{O}_{r, f}\right) \\
& =\sum_{r \in R_{n}} \sum_{r^{\prime} \in R_{m}: \mathcal{O}_{r^{\prime}, f} \cap \mathcal{O}_{r, f} \neq \emptyset} \mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{r^{\prime}, f}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $r$ in $R_{n}$, let $I_{r}$ be the number of $r^{\prime}$ in $R_{m}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{r^{\prime}, f}$ meets $\mathcal{O}_{r, f}$. By Theorem 4.1 and since $f$ is slowly varying, there exists a constant $c_{4}^{\prime}>0$ such that $\mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{r^{\prime}, f}\right) \leq c_{4}^{\prime} e^{-\delta N m+2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right) \log f(N m)}$ for every $r^{\prime}$ in $R_{m}$. Hence,

$$
\mu_{\infty}\left(A_{m} \cap A_{n}\right) \leq c_{4}^{\prime} e^{-\delta N m+2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right) \log f(N m)} \sum_{r \in R_{n}} I_{r}
$$

The cardinal of $R_{n}$, which is $\mathcal{N}_{e}^{\prime \prime}(N n)$, is at most $c_{1}^{\prime} e^{\delta N n}$. Let us give an upper bound on $I_{r}$. By the definition of $c_{2}^{\prime}$ in the proof of Proposition 5.3, for every $k$ in $\mathbb{N}$, the shadows $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{\rho, c_{2}^{\prime}}$ for $\rho \in R_{k}$ are pairwise disjoint. By Theorem 4.1 and since $f$ is locally bounded, there exists a constant $c_{5}^{\prime}>0$ such that $\mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \geq c_{5}^{\prime} e^{-\delta N m}$ for every $r^{\prime}$ in $R_{m}$. Hence,

$$
c_{5}^{\prime} e^{-\delta N m} I_{r} \leq \sum_{r^{\prime} \in R_{m}: O_{r^{\prime}, f} \cap \mathcal{O}_{r, f} \neq \emptyset} \mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r^{\prime}, c_{2}^{\prime}}\right) \leq \mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{r, f}\right)
$$

so that $I_{r} \leq\left(1 / c_{5}^{\prime}\right) e^{\delta N m} \mu_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{O}_{r, f}\right)$. By Theorem 4.1, and since $f$ is slowly varying, there exists a constant $c_{6}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
I_{r} \leq c_{6}^{\prime} e^{\delta N m} e^{-\delta N n+2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right) \log f(N n)}
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu_{\infty}\left(A_{m} \cap A_{n}\right) & \leq\left(c_{4}^{\prime} e^{-\delta N m+2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right) \log f(N m)}\right)\left(c_{1}^{\prime} e^{\delta N n}\right)\left(c_{6}^{\prime} e^{\delta N m} e^{-\delta N n+2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right) \log f(N n)}\right) \\
& =c_{1}^{\prime} c_{4}^{\prime} c_{6}^{\prime} f(N n)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)} f(N m)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

But we have seen in the proof of Proposition 5.3 that $\mu_{\infty}\left(A_{k}\right) \asymp f(N k)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$. Hence, Proposition 5.5 follows.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. For every rational line $r$ and every geodesic line $\xi$ starting from $\infty$ in $M_{0}$, let $d_{\infty}^{\prime}(\xi, r)$ be the lower bound of the $e^{-t}$ for $t>0$ such that $\xi$ meets $H_{r, t}$. That is $d_{\infty}^{\prime}(\xi, r) \leq e^{-t}$ if and only if $\xi$ meets $H_{r, t}$.

According to [HP2], there is a constant $c_{7}^{\prime}>0$ such that

$$
\frac{1}{c_{7}^{\prime}} d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \leq e^{-D(r)} d_{\infty}^{\prime}(\xi, r) \leq c_{7}^{\prime} d_{\infty}(\xi, r)
$$

In particular, if the endpoint of $\xi$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, f}$, then $d_{\infty}^{\prime}(\xi, r) \leq e^{-(-\log f \circ D(r))}$, hence $d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \leq c_{7}^{\prime} f \circ D(r) e^{-D(r)}$. Conversely, if $d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \leq\left(1 / c_{7}^{\prime}\right) f \circ D(r) e^{-D(r)}$, then $d_{\infty}^{\prime}(\xi, r) \leq e^{-(-\log f \circ D(r))}$; hence, the endpoint of $\xi$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\infty} H_{r, f}$.

Define $A_{\infty}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k \geq n} A_{k}$, which is the set of points in $\partial M_{0}$ belonging to infinitely many $A_{n}$ 's. Note that $A_{\infty}$ is contained in the subset $\Gamma_{0} \backslash\left(\Lambda \Gamma-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}\right)$, since the orbit under $\Gamma$ of the parabolic point $\xi_{0}$ is dense in the limit set of $\Gamma$.

By these arguments, if the endpoint of $\xi$ belongs to $A_{\infty}$, then there are infinitely many rational lines $r$ such that $d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \leq c_{7}^{\prime} f \circ D(r) e^{-D(r)}$. And if there are infinitely many rational lines $r$ such that $d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \leq\left(1 / c_{7}^{\prime}\right) f \circ D(r) e^{-D(r)}$, then $\xi$ belongs to $A_{\infty}$. With the notation in the statement of Theorem 5.1, we then have

$$
E\left(\frac{1}{c_{7}^{\prime}} f\right) \subset A_{\infty} \subset E\left(c_{7}^{\prime} f\right)
$$

Note that the convergence or divergence of the integral $\int_{1}^{\infty} f^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ is unchanged if one replaces $f$ by $\lambda f$ for any $\lambda>0$. Hence, to prove that $\mu_{\infty}(E(f))>0$ if and only if $\int_{1}^{\infty} f^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ diverges, it is sufficient to prove that $\mu_{\infty}\left(A_{\infty}\right)>0$ if and only if $\int_{1}^{\infty} f^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ diverges.

We use the following result whose proof can be found, for instance, in [Spr].

ThEOREM 5.7. Let $(Y, v)$ be a probability space. Let $\left(B_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of measurable subsets of $Y$ such that there exists a constant $c>0$ with $\nu\left(B_{n} \cap B_{m}\right) \leq$ $c \nu\left(B_{n}\right) \nu\left(B_{m}\right)$ for all distinct integers $n, m$. Let $B_{\infty}=\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{k \geq n} B_{k}$. Then $\nu\left(B_{\infty}\right)>0$ if and only if $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \nu\left(B_{n}\right)$ diverges.

We now use Propositions 5.5 and Proposition 5.3 and apply the previous result with $Y=\partial M_{0}, \nu=\mu_{\infty}, B_{n}=A_{n}$, to obtain that $\mu_{\infty}(E(f))>0$ if and only if $\int_{1}^{\infty} f^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ diverges. This is the first conclusion of Theorem 5.1.

Assume that $\int_{1}^{\infty} f^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ diverges. Let us prove that $\mu_{\infty}\left({ }^{c} E(f)\right)=0$, which proves the second conclusion of Theorem 5.1.

Let $g:\left[0,+\infty[\rightarrow] 0,+\infty\left[\right.\right.$ be a map decreasing to 0 such that $\int_{1}^{\infty}(g f)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ diverges. Let $E^{\prime}(f)$ be the set of geodesic lines $\xi$ in $M_{0}$ starting from $\infty$ such that there exist $c>0$ and infinitely many rational lines $r$ in $M_{0}$ with $d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \leq c f(D(r)) e^{-D(r)}$. Since $E(g f) \subset E^{\prime}(g f)$, the first conclusion of Theorem 5.1 implies that $\mu_{\infty}\left(E^{\prime}(g f)\right)>0$. It is clear that the union of $\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$ and of the pre-image in $\partial \widetilde{M}$ of $E^{\prime}(g f) \subset \partial M_{0}$ is invariant under $\Gamma$.

Since $\Gamma$ is of divergent type, the action of $\Gamma$ on $\partial \tilde{M}$ for the Patterson-Sullivan measure is ergodic, see, for instance, [Rob]. By [DOP], since $\xi_{0}$ is a bounded parabolic point and $\Gamma$ is non-elementary of divergent type, the measure $\mu_{\infty}$ has no atom at $\xi_{0}$. By ergodicity, $\mu_{\infty}\left({ }^{c} E^{\prime}(g f)\right)=0$. But $E^{\prime}(g f) \subset E(f)$ since $g$ is decreasing to 0 . Hence $\mu_{\infty}\left({ }^{c} E(f)\right)=0$.

## 6. The logarithm law for the geodesic flow in variable curvature

Define a map $\Delta_{e}: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ that describes the penetration distance into the maximal Margulis neighbourhood $V_{e}$ of the cusp $e$, by $\Delta_{e}(x)=-1$ if $x$ does not belong to $V_{e}$, and $\Delta_{e}(x)=d\left(x, \partial V_{e}\right)$ otherwise.

COROLLARY 6.1. With the notation of $\S 2$, assume that e is a bounded cusp, $f_{\widetilde{\pi}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta t}$, and $f_{\pi_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$. For every $y$ in $M$ and almost every $v$ in $T_{y}^{1}(M)$ (for the PattersonSullivan measure), we have

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{\Delta_{e}\left(\gamma_{v}(t)\right)}{\log t}=\frac{1}{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}
$$

Proof. We will apply Theorem 5.1 to the functions $f_{\kappa}(t)=t^{-\kappa}$. Note that the integral $\int_{1}^{\infty}\left(f_{\kappa}\right)^{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}$ diverges if and only if $\kappa \leq 1 / 2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)$.

In what follows, the variable $\xi$ denotes a geodesic line starting from $\infty$ in $M_{0}$, with endpoint in the (full measure for $\mu_{\infty}$ ) image in $\partial M_{0}$ of $\Lambda \Gamma-\left\{\xi_{0}\right\}$. Take as the origin $\xi(0)$ on $\xi$ its intersection with $H_{\infty}$.

By the definition of the Hamenstädt distance, there exists a constant $c_{1}^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ such that, for every $\xi$ and every rational line $r$ in $M_{0}$ such that $\xi$ enters $H B_{r}$, if $\xi_{r}$ is the tangency point to some $H_{r, t}$ for some $t=t_{\xi, r}$ (i.e. $\xi_{r}$ is the deepest penetration point of $\xi$ in $H B_{r}$ ), then

$$
e^{-D(r)-t-c_{1}^{\prime \prime}} \leq d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \leq e^{-D(r)-t+c_{1}^{\prime \prime}}
$$

With this notation, there also exists a constant $c_{2}^{\prime \prime}$ such that the length $\ell_{\xi}(r)$ of the subsegment between the origin of $\xi$ and $\xi_{r}$ satisfies

$$
D(r)+t_{\xi, r}-c_{2}^{\prime \prime} \leq \ell_{\xi}(r) \leq D(r)+t_{\xi, r}+c_{2}^{\prime \prime}
$$

If $\pi_{0}: M_{0} \rightarrow M$ is the canonical covering map, by the properties of the maximal Margulis neighbourhood, and since $e$ is bounded, there exists a constant $c_{3}^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ such that

$$
t_{\xi, r}-c_{3}^{\prime \prime} \leq \Delta_{e}\left(\pi_{0}\left(\xi_{r}\right)\right) \leq t_{\xi, r}+c_{3}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Let $\kappa_{n}=1 / 2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)+1 / n$. By the first part of Theorem 5.1, for almost every $\xi$, except for finitely many rational lines $r, d_{\infty}(\xi, r) \geq f_{\kappa_{n}}(D(r)) e^{-D(r)}$. Hence, by the formula ( $\sharp$ ), for almost every $\xi$, we have $t_{\xi, r} \leq \kappa_{n} \log D(r)+c_{1}^{\prime \prime}$ for every (except finitely many) rational line $r$ such that $\xi$ enters $H B_{r}$. In particular, for almost every $\xi$ for every real constant $c$, as $r$ goes to infinity in the discrete set of rational lines with $\xi$ meeting $H B_{r}$, we have $\log D(r) \sim \log \left(D(r)+t_{\xi, r}+c\right)$. Therefore, for almost every $\xi$,

$$
\lim \sup \frac{\Delta_{e}\left(\pi_{0}\left(\xi_{r}\right)\right)}{\log \ell_{\xi}(r)} \leq \kappa_{n}
$$

where the upper bound is taken as $r$ goes to infinity in the discrete set of rational lines with $\xi$ meeting $H B_{r}$.

Similarly, by the second part of Theorem 5.1 using the function $f_{\kappa}$ with $\kappa=1 / 2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)$, for almost every $\xi$,

$$
\lim \sup \frac{\Delta_{e}\left(\pi_{0}\left(\xi_{r}\right)\right)}{\log \ell_{\xi}(r)} \geq \kappa
$$

where the upper bound is taken as before. Removing countably many sets of measure zero, we get that, for almost every $\xi$,

$$
\lim \sup \frac{\Delta_{e}\left(\pi_{0}\left(\xi_{r}\right)\right)}{\log \ell_{\xi}(r)}=\kappa
$$

Now let $y$ be a point in $M$, and choose a lift $\tilde{y}$ of $y$ in $\tilde{M}$. Let $v \mapsto \tilde{v}$ be the map $T_{y}^{1} M \rightarrow T_{\widetilde{y}}^{1} \tilde{M}$ induced by the covering map $\tilde{\pi}$. Note that $\xi_{0}$ is not an atom for the Patterson-Sullivan measures (see [DOP]).

The complement in $T_{y}^{1} M$ of the vector $v_{0}$ such that $\widetilde{v}_{0}$ points towards $\xi_{0}$, which has full Patterson-Sullivan measure, can be covered by countably many open subsets $U$ such that the following holds: there exists a relatively compact small open subset $\widetilde{U}$ of geodesic lines in $\widetilde{M}$ starting from $\xi_{0}$, with $\widetilde{U}$ embedding in $M_{0}$ under $\tilde{\pi}_{0}$, such that $U$ is the subset of vectors $v$ in $T_{y}^{1} M$ such that $\tilde{v}$ points towards the endpoint of some element $\widetilde{\zeta}=\widetilde{\zeta}(v)$ in $\tilde{U}$.

Note that $\gamma_{\tilde{v}}$ and $\tilde{\zeta}$ become arbitrarily close towards their common point at infinity, so that the geodesic ray and line $\gamma_{v}$ and $\widetilde{\pi}(\widetilde{\zeta})$ have the same asymptotic behaviour inside the maximal Margulis neighbourhood $V_{e}$ of $e$.

Let $\zeta=\zeta(v)$ be the image of $\widetilde{\zeta}$ in $M_{0}$. Since $\mu_{\tilde{y}}$ and $\mu_{\xi_{0}}$ are absolutely continuous, the map $v \mapsto \zeta$ (which is a homeomorphism onto its image) preserves the sets of measure zero. For every $v$ in $U$, if $t \geq 0$ is such that $\gamma_{v}(t)$ is the maximal penetration point in $V_{e}$ of some connected component of $\operatorname{int}\left(V_{e}\right) \cap \gamma_{v}(\mathbb{R})$, then there is a constant $c_{4}^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ and a rational line $r$ such that

$$
\left|\Delta_{e}\left(\pi_{0}\left(\zeta_{r}\right)\right)-\Delta_{e}\left(\gamma_{v}(t)\right)\right| \leq c_{4}^{\prime \prime}
$$

Note that there is a constant $c_{5}^{\prime \prime} \geq 0$ such that

$$
\left|t-\ell_{\zeta}(r)\right| \leq\left|d_{\widetilde{M}}\left(\widetilde{y}, \gamma_{\widehat{v}}(t)\right)-d\left(\gamma_{\tilde{v}}(t), \widetilde{\zeta}(0)\right)\right|+c_{5}^{\prime \prime} \leq d_{\widetilde{M}}(\widetilde{y}, \widetilde{\zeta}(0))+c_{5}^{\prime \prime}
$$

which is uniformly bounded.
Hence Corollary 6.1 follows from formula $(\dagger)$.
COROLLARY 6.2. With the notation of $\S 2$, assume that $M$ is geometrically finite, and that $f_{\widetilde{\pi}_{0}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta_{0} t}$ and similarly for every cusp. Assume that $\delta_{0}$ is the biggest critical exponent of the parabolic subgroups of the cusps of $M$. For every $y$ in $M$ and almost every $v$ in $T_{y}^{1} M$ (for the Patterson-Sullivan measure),

$$
\limsup _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{d_{M}\left(y, \gamma_{v}(t)\right)}{\log t}=\frac{1}{2\left(\delta-\delta_{0}\right)}
$$

Theorem 1.4 in the introduction immediately follows from this corollary.
Proof. Note that $f_{\tilde{\pi}}(t) \asymp e^{\delta t}$ by Lemma 3.6. Since $M$ is geometrically finite, it has only finitely many cusps and $\tilde{\pi}(C \Lambda \Gamma)$ is the union of a compact subset and the (intersections with $\tilde{\pi}(C \Lambda \Gamma)$ of the) finitely many maximal Margulis neighbourhoods of the cusps. The result then follows from Corollary 6.1, by considering the excursions of the geodesics in the different cusps.
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